

Maryland Department of Environment

Water Management Administration Compliance Program - Eastern Division 407 Race St, Cambridge, MD 21613 410-901-4020

Field Inspection Report by: Michele Burroughs

Media Type(s): NPDES Municipal Minor Surface Water

Inspection Date: February 2, 2012

Site Name: Assateague Island-National Seashore HQ WWTP

Facility Address: 7206 National Seashore Lane, Berlin, MD 21811

County: Worcester County

NPDES Municipal Minor Surface Water

Permit / Approval Numbers: DP-2530/MD0021091

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Contact(s): Chandra Singh – Singh Operational Services; Superintendent

Richard Mathieu - Operator

Steve Main – Singh Operational Services; retired WWTP Superintendent, NPS

Recommended Action: Refer to Others (See Findings)

Inspection Reason: Routine Scheduled, Initial Quarterly

Inspection Findings:

A. Permit Verification

The facility holds State Discharge Permit 10-DP-2530, NPDES Permit MD0021091, which became effective October 1, 2011 and expired September 30, 2016. The permit renewal application is due to the Department by April 1, 2014. The new permit incorporates a second outfall for the discharge of reuse water, which is designated as DSN 002.

B. Records

December 2011 - The DMR for DSN 001 shows noncompliance. The laboratory data sheets and chains of custody were available. The chain of custody failed to record the start and stop time of the composite sample, sample bottle designations, and sample preservation methods. The MOR failed to report the pH reading and D.O. concentrations from 12/2/-12/7, 12/14/, 12/17, 12/20, 12/30, and 12/31. A (grab) sample was collected on 12/29 and reported on the MOR, as if it were collected as a composite, during a day when there was no reported discharge. The total nitrogen monthly average loading was reported as 0.1 lbs/day, the weekly

Inspection Date: February 2, 2012

Site Name: Assateague Island-National Seashore HQ WWTP Facility Address: 7206 National Seashore Lane, Berlin, MD 21811

average loading as 0.188 lbs/day, the monthly average concentration as 7.4 mg/l, and the weekly average concentration as 13.4 mg/l with 3 excursions. The facility ran the ammonia, organic nitrogen, TKN, nitrate + nitrite, and total nitrogen concentrations 7 times/month, which was not reflected on the DMR sampling frequency. The facility did not have a DMR available for review for DSN 002.

November 2011 - The DMR for DSN 001 shows noncompliance. The laboratory data sheets and chains of custody were available. The chain of custody failed to record the start and stop time of the composite sample, sample bottle designations, and sample preservation methods. The minimum D.O. concentration was reported as 0.0 mg/l with 5 excursions, but should have been reported as 0 excursions. The pH range was reported as 0.0-8.5 s.u. with 5 excursions, but should have been reported as 0 excursions. The pH reading for 11/18 was reported as 0.0 on the MOR, during a period of no discharge. The facility did not have a DMR available for review for DSN 002.

October 2011 - The DMR shows noncompliance. The laboratory data sheets and chains of custody were available. The chain of custody failed to record the start and stop time of the composite sample, sample bottle designations, and sample preservation methods. The total nitrogen monthly average loading was reported as 0.0 lbs/day, the weekly average loading as 0.005 lbs/day, the monthly average concentration as 0.1 mg/l, and the weekly average concentration as 0.51 mg/l with 1 excursion. The average daily flow was reported as 0.002 MGD. The facility did not have a DMR available for review for DSN 002.

September 2011 - The DMR shows compliance. The laboratory data sheets and chains of custody were available. The chain of custody failed to record the start and stop time of the composite sample, sample bottle designations, and sample preservation methods. The 9/15 total nitrogen result of 1.47 mg/l was transcribed to the MOR as 1.17 mg/l. The average daily flow was reported as 0.03 MGD. The facility did not have a DMR available for review for DSN 002.

August 2011 - The DMR shows noncompliance. The laboratory data sheets and chains of custody were available. The chain of custody failed to record the start and stop time of the composite sample, sample bottle designations, and sample preservation methods. The minimum D.O. concentration was reported as 0.0 mg/l with 8 excursions. The pH range was reported as 7.5-8.6 s.u. with 1 excursion. The average daily flow was reported as 0.004 MGD. The 8/25/2011 total nitrogen results of 1.28 mg/l was transcribed as 1.23 mg/l on the MOR.

C. Maintenance and Operations

The system consists of a bar screen, followed by vibratory separator, an equalization tank, anoxic tank, an aerobic tank, a second anoxic tank and a reaeration tank. The effluent from the reaeration tanks is filtered through two parallel basket filters prior to treatment by 4 Berghof ultrafilters. The permeate from the ultrafilters is disinfected with 2 UV units, followed by a 2000 gallon vertical tank. Mr. Singh stated that they intend to install 2 metal slats in the second anoxic tank, separating the tank into three sections. The first section will have a pump, the micro c feed tube and a slat which drops down 4 feet from the typical liquid level in the tank.

Inspection Date: February 2, 2012

Site Name: Assateague Island-National Seashore HQ WWTP Facility Address: 7206 National Seashore Lane, Berlin, MD 21811

The fluid will flow under the first slat to the second section. Mr. Singh stated that the third slat would operate as a weir, being placed down to the bottom of the tank to the desired weir height. Mr. Singh stated the reconfiguration of the anoxic tank was to allow for third zone of the anoxic tank to act as a clarifier, allowing solids to settle out prior to the reaeration tank, and ultimately, the ultrafilters. Mr. Singh stated that the solids from the "clarifier" will recirculate to the aeration tank and/or the primary anoxic tank.

Due to personnel issues, SOS is acting as superintendent of the facility, and have contracted with Mr. Steve Main as an operator and David Mathieu has been hired as an operator by the Park Service.

Mr. Singh stated that he has reordered 4 new ultrafilters, due to low flows through the old ultrafilters. The facility is in receipt of a new grit system which should be installed this spring. The grit system is intended to provide primary treatment for the hauled waste from Assateague Island to the main pump station located at the WWTP. According to Mr. Mathieu, he is unaware of any recalibrations of the flowmeters.

D. Effluent Receiving Water

The facility was discharging at the time of the inspection. The effluent appeared to be clear with no apparent odor.

E. Sampling

There were no samples collected during this inspection.

F. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

This facility is not required to develop nor implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan.

G. Corrective Actions

- 1. The permittee is advised that the facility should operate the facility in compliance with permit requirements, including but not limited to compliance with discharge permit limits.
- 2. The permittee is reminded that the flow meter should be calibrated on an annual basis. The permittee is requested to submit a certificate of calibration for the final effluent flow meter within 3 weeks of receipt of this report. The certificate of calibration should be submitted to Mr. David Pushkar, MDE, Division Chief, Compliance Program, 407 Race Street, Cambridge, MD 21613.
- 3. The permittee is reminded of the necessary changes to the Monthly Operating Report (MOR) due to changes in the definition of weekly average (Sunday to Saturday) in the new permit.

Inspection Date: February 2, 2012

Site Name: Assateague Island-National Seashore HQ WWTP Facility Address: 7206 National Seashore Lane, Berlin, MD 21811

4. The permittee is reminded that a DMR is required to be submitted every month for DSN 002.

- 5. The permittee is requested to document the start and stop times for the composite sample on the chains of custody. In addition, the permittee is advised that the ortho-phosphorous sample should be field filtered through a 45 micron glass filter within 15 minutes of collection, prior to submittal to the laboratory for analysis and the preservation status stated on the chain of custody. The permitted is advised that the sample submitted to the laboratory for analysis for TP, TKN, N+N, and ammonia should be preserved with sulfuric acid to a pH<2.0 s.u.. The chain of custody for fecal samples should have thiosulfate preservation identified on the chain of custody. All samples should be preserved with ice.
- 6. The permittee is advised that the facility should control flows through the plant during low flow periods so that the weekly composite sample is able to be collected. The permittee is advised that a grab sample collected from the system on days when there is no discharge is invalid for the parameters (BOD/TSS/TN/TP) which require weekly composite sampling.
- 7. The permittee is requested to resubmit corrected DMRS for DSN 001 for August 2011, November 2011, and December 2011 to address deficiencies identified in Section B. of this report. The permittee is requested to submit DMRs for DSN 002 for the periods of September 2011 through December 2011. The DMRs should be submitted within 21 days of receipt of this report. In addition, the permittee is requested to resubmit the 5 day reports for August 2011, October 2011, and December 2011 to include the information in corrective action 8., listed below.
- 8. The permittee is reminded that MDE is to be notified by phone of permit noncompliance within 24 hours of knowledge of the noncompliance, followed by a 5 day report. The permittee shall provide the Department with the following information in writing within five days of such oral notification.
 - a. a description of the noncomplying discharge including the name of the stream and the impact upon the receiving waters;
 - b. cause of noncompliance;
 - c. the duration of the period of noncompliance and the anticipated time the condition of noncompliance is expected to continue;
 - d. steps taken by the permittee to reduce and eliminate the noncomplying discharge;
 - e. steps to be taken by the permittee to prevent recurrence of the condition of noncompliance;
 - f. a description of the accelerated or additional monitoring to determine the nature and impact of the noncomplying discharge; and
 - g. the results of the monitoring described in f. above.

Inspection Date: Site Name: Facility Address: February 2, 2012 Assateague Island-National Seashore HQ WWTP 7206 National Seashore Lane, Berlin, MD 21811

NPDES Municipal Minor Surface Water - Inspection Checklist

•		e Water - Inspection Checklist
Inspection Item	Status	Comments
1. Does the facility have a discharge permit?	No Violations	
[Environment Article §9-323a(1-3)]	Observed	
2. Is the discharge permit current? Has	No Violations	
facility applied for renewal? [Environment	Observed	
Article §9-328a(1)]		
3. Is the facility as described in the current	No Violations	
permit? Are treatment processes as described	Observed	
in the current permit? [COMAR		
26.08.04.01.01B(4)]		
4. Has notification been submitted about any	No Violations	
new, different or increased discharges? [40	Observed	
CFR Part 122 Subpart C Section 122.42.b(1-		
3)]		
5. Is the number and location of discharge	No Violations	
points as described in the discharge permit?	Observed	
[Environment Article §9-3314]		
6. Has permittee submitted correct name and	No Violations	
address of receiving waters? [40 CFR	Observed	
[122.21.j(3)]		
7. Is the permittee meeting the compliance	Not	
schedule per permit requirements? [COMAR	Applicable	
26.08.04.02-1.02-1A(3)]	XX XX 1	
8. Has the operator or superintendent been	No Violations	
certified by the Board in the appropriate	Observed	
classification for the facility? [COMAR		
26.06.01.05A(1)]	0.4.5	C C. I'm
9. Are adequate records being maintained for	Out of	See findings.
the sampling date, time, and exact location;	Compliance	
analysis dates and times; individual		
performing analysis; and analytical results? [COMAR 26.08.04.03.03B(3)(a, b, c, e)]		
10. Are adequate records being maintained	Out of	See findings.
for the analytical methods/techniques used?	Compliance	See mangs.
[COMAR 26.08.04.03.03B(3)(d)]	Compilance	
11. Does the permittee retained a minimum of	Out of	See findings.
3 years worth of monitoring records including	Compliance	See mangs.
raw data and original strip chart recordings;	Compnance	
calibration and maintenance records; and		
reports? [COMAR 26.08.04.03.03B(1)]		
12. Is the lab and monitoring equipment being	No Violations	
properly calibrated and maintained? Are they	Observed	
keeping records to reflect this? [Environment	Observed	
Article §9-3313]		
13. Is laboratory controls and appropriate	No Violations	
quality assurance procedures properly	Observed	
operated and maintained? [40 CFR Part 122	20001.00	
Subpart C Section 122.41.e]		
14. Has the permittee submitted the	Out of	See findings.
monitoring results on the proper Discharge	Compliance	<i>G</i> ···
Monitoring Report form? [COMAR	F	
26.08.04.03.03C(1)]		

Inspection Date: Site Name: Facility Address: February 2, 2012 Assateague Island-National Seashore HQ WWTP 7206 National Seashore Lane, Berlin, MD 21811

NPDES Municipal Minor Surface Water - Inspection Checklist

_		e Water - Inspection Checklist
Inspection Item	Status	Comments
15. Has the permittee submitted these results	No Violations	
within the allotted time? [COMAR	Observed	
26.08.04.03.03C(2)]		
16. Are discharge monitoring reports	No Violations	
complete and reflect permit conditions?	Observed	
[COMAR 26.08.04.03B(3)]		
17. Is the facility being properly operated and	No Violations	
maintained including:(a) stand-by power or	Observed	
equivalent provisions available, (b) adequate		
alarm system for power or equipment failure		
available, (c) all treatments units are in		
service, . [40 CFR Part 122 Subpart C Section		
122.41.e]		
18. Is sewage sludge managed correctly per	No Violations	
permit requirements? [COMAR	Observed	
26.04.06.03.03]		
19. Any by-pass since last inspection? Has	No Violations	
permittee submitted notice of any by-pass? [40	Observed	
CFR Part 122 Subpart C Section		
122.41.m(4)(i)(C)]		
20. Any non-complying discharges	Out of	See findings.
experienced since last inspection? Has	Compliance	
regulatory agency been notified? [40 CFR Part		
122 Subpart C Section 122.41.l(6)]		
21. Have overflows occurred since the last	No Violations	
inspection? [COMAR 26.08.10.02A]	Observed	
22. Has records of overflows been maintained		
at the facility for at least five years? [COMAR	Observed	
26.08.10.06A-B]		
23. Are flow measuring devices properly	Out of	See findings.
installed and operated, calibration frequency	Compliance	
of flow meter adequate, flow measurement		
equipment adequate to handle expected ranges		
of flow? [40 CFR Part 122 Subpart C Section		
122.41.e]	NY X7' 1 .'	
24. Are discharge monitoring points adequate for representative sampling? Do parameters		
1 0 1	Observed	
and sampling frequency meet the minimum requirements? Does the permittee use the		
method of sample collection required by the		
permit? [Environment Article §9-331(4)]		
25. Are analytical testing procedures	No Violations	
approved by EPA? If alternate analytical	Observed	
procedures are used, proper approval has been	00001.00	
obtained? [COMAR 26.08.01.02B(1)]		
26. Has the permittee notified the Department	No Violations	
of the name and address of the commercial	Observed	
laboratory? [COMAR 26.08.04.03.03A(3)]		
27. Were discharges observed at the	No Violations	
authorized outfalls? Does the facility have any	Observed	
unauthorized discharges to waters of the		
State? [Environment Article §9-322]		

Michele Burroughs

Inspection Date: Site Name: Facility Address: February 2, 2012 Assateague Island-National Seashore HQ WWTP 7206 National Seashore Lane, Berlin, MD 21811

NPDES Municipal Minor Surface Water - Inspection Checklist

NI DES Municipai Minor Surface Water - Inspection Checknst				
Inspection Item	Status	Comments		
28. Does the discharges or receiving waters	No Violations			
have any visible pollutants (oil sheen, grease,	Observed			
turbidity, foam, floating solids, color), odor,				
noncompliant DO concentrations, and/or				
noncompliant temperature ranges?				
[Environment Article §9-314b(1)]				
29. Were discharge samples collected?	Not Evaluated			
[Environment Article §9-261c(1)]				
30. Is the facility required to have a storm	Not			
water pollution prevention plan? Has storm	Applicable			
water pollution prevention plan been	11			
developed and implemented as required? Does				
storm water pollution prevention plan require				
modifications to prevent runoff of pollutants?				
[40 CFR Part 122 Subpart B Section				
122.26.c(1)(I)(A-B)]				
31. Are the permit conditions being met?	Out of	See findings.		
[Environment Article §9-326a(1)]	Compliance			
Inspector:		Received by:		